

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

One dimensional  $^1\text{H},\,^2\text{H}$  and  $^3\text{H}$ 

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 New J. Phys. 18 055013 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/18/5/055013) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 147.83.54.230 This content was downloaded on 27/05/2016 at 15:06

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

of Physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft 🕕 DPG

**IOP** Institute of Physics

Published in partnership

with: Deutsche Physikalische

Gesellschaft and the Institute

# **New Journal of Physics**

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

CrossMark

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

RECEIVED

29 February 2016

**REVISED** 4 May 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

9 May 2016 PUBLISHED

26 May 2016

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



One dimensional <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>H

#### A J Vidal<sup>1</sup>, G E Astrakharchik<sup>1,3</sup>, L Vranješ Markić<sup>2</sup> and J Boronat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departament de Física, Campus Nord B4-B5, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain

- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science, University of Split, HR-21000 Split, Croatia
- <sup>3</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: grigori.astrakharchik@upc.edu

Keywords: quantum Monte Carlo methods, equation of state, Luttinger liquid, hydrogen, Tonks-Girardeau gas

# Abstract

PAPER

The ground-state properties of one-dimensional electron-spin-polarized hydrogen <sup>1</sup>H, deuterium <sup>2</sup>H, and tritium <sup>3</sup>H are obtained by means of quantum Monte Carlo methods. The equations of state of the three isotopes are calculated for a wide range of linear densities. The pair correlation function and the static structure factor are obtained and interpreted within the framework of the Luttinger liquid theory. We report the density dependence of the Luttinger parameter and use it to identify different physical regimes: Bogoliubov Bose gas, super-Tonks–Girardeau gas, and quasi-crystal regimes for bosons; repulsive, attractive Fermi gas, and quasi-crystal regimes for fermions. We find that the tritium isotope is the one with the richest behavior. Our results show unambiguously the relevant role of the isotope mass in the properties of this quantum system.

# 1. Introduction

The quest for observing Bose–Einstein condensation in cold gases was accomplished in Nobel-prize winning experiments [1, 2] in 1995. Since then, alkali gases have proven to be an extremely versatile experimental tool, as the interaction strength can be tuned by Feshbach resonance while the use of optical lattices permits to create highly controllable geometries. Reduced dimensionality might lead to highly non-trivial phenomena in quantum systems. It was shown [3] by Marvin Girardeau in 1960 that in one dimension the wave function of bosons with strong repulsion can be mapped to a wave function of non-interacting fermions. In this system, known as Tonks–Girardeau (TG) gas, bosons acquire many fermionic properties revealing the intricate relation between quantum statistics in one dimension. Later on, it was proposed [4] that a gas with even stronger correlations than in a TG gas (super Tonks–Girardeau (sTG) gas) can be obtained by crossing rapidly the confinement induced resonance (CIR) [5]. TG gas was successfully realized and observed with <sup>87</sup>Rb [6, 7] atoms and TG and sTG gases with <sup>135</sup>Cs [8, 9] atoms.

Before the breakthrough progress was achieved with alkali atoms, the most studied candidate for observing Bose–Einstein condensation in cold gases was electron-spin-polarized hydrogen. A group led by Thomas Greytak and Daniel Kleppner at MIT began their quest for the quantum degeneracy of atomic hydrogen already in 1978. A magnetic trap with evaporative cooling was one of the techniques used by the group which later was adopted by the alkali gas laboratories. It took two decades to finally reach [10] the Bose–Einstein condensation in atomic hydrogen, in 1998. We might hope that the experimental techniques developed since then for alkali gases can be backported to hydrogen for creating clean one-dimensional systems of hydrogen and its heavier isotopes, deuterium and tritium. In particular, the possibility for formation of a tritium condensate using its broad Feshbach resonance was suggested in [11].

Our additional motivation is that, out of all atoms, hydrogen has the simplest structure and it is a very basic fundamental question to find out its properties in reduced dimensionalities. A further advantage of considering polarized hydrogen is that its interatomic potential is exactly known from works by Kolos, Jamieson, Dalgarno,

and Wolniewicz [12, 13, 14]. As the model potential is the only input of quantum Monte Carlo methods, we can benefit of its power for producing very accurate quantitative results [15–19].

The main goal of the present study is to find the ground-state properties of hydrogen <sup>1</sup>H, deuterium <sup>2</sup>H, and tritium <sup>3</sup>H isotopes of spin-polarized hydrogen in one dimension. In section 2, we introduce the quantum Monte Carlo method used for the simulation. Section 3 comprises the main results of the work including the energy of the systems, their structure properties and the determination of the Luttinger parameter as a function of the density for each of the three isotopes. In section 4 we make a comparison with other quantum one-dimensional systems. Finally, the main conclusions are drawn in section 5.

#### 2. Method

We use the diffusion Monte Carlo method [20, 21] to study one-dimensional hydrogen <sup>1</sup>H, deuterium <sup>2</sup>H, and tritium <sup>3</sup>H at zero temperature. The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\rm H}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i + \sum_{i< j}^{N} V(|x_i - x_j|), \tag{1}$$

where  $x_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, N}$  denote the positions of the *N* atoms. We consider exactly the same interactions for all isotopes so that the distinguishing factor is the mass  $m_{1H} = 1.00794u$  (hydrogen),  $m_{2H} = 2.01410u$ (deuterium), and  $m_{3H} = 3.01605u$  (tritium). The triplet  $b^3\Sigma_u^+$  interaction potential V(x) is obtained by interpolating data from highly accurate '*ab initio*' calculations by Jamieson *et al* (JDW) [13] and by smoothly connecting them to an attractive  $r^{-6}$  tail, term that comes from the interaction of induced electric dipoles at large distances [14]. The JDW potential exhibits an attractive well with a minimum of -6.49 K at x = 4.14 Å and has a repulsive hard wall at short distances. This potential was used to predict ground-state properties and stability of bulk and clusters of hydrogen, deuterium, and tritium [16, 22–24]. We study the 1D homogeneous system by applying periodic boundary conditions to a box of length *L*. We are interested in the microscopic properties of the system in the thermodynamic limit at a given linear density  $\rho = N/L$ . In the following, we stick to conventional units of Angstrom for the distance and Kelvin for the energy.

The DMC method solves in a stochastic way the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation of a many-particle system. To reduce the variance of the statistical estimations the method works with importance sampling. This technique, which is widely used in any Monte Carlo calculation, relies in the present case on the introduction of a guiding wave function to drive the sampling to regions where one reasonably knows that the statistical weight is higher. In our study, we use a Jastrow correlation factor  $\Psi_B$  for bosons and  $\Psi_F$  for fermions, given by

$$\Psi_{\rm B}(x_1,...,x_N) = \prod_{i  

$$\Psi_{\rm F}(x_1,...,x_N) = \prod_{i
(2)$$$$

In equation (2),  $f_2(x)$  is chosen as the two-body scattering solution at short distances,  $x < R_{par}$ , and follows the phononic asymptotic law  $|\sin(\pi x/L)|^{1/K_{par}}$  at large distances [25, 26],  $x > R_{par}$ . We note that the guiding wave function (2) becomes exact in the limit of low densities, when both the short-range and long-range parts become equal to  $f_2(x) = |\sin(\pi x/L)|$ . This limit describes a TG gas for the bosonic <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>H isotopes and an ideal Fermi gas for the fermionic one, <sup>2</sup>H, since when the interparticle distance is large enough the contribution of the potential energy vanishes and then the energy is fully kinetic. There are two variational parameters in the guiding wave function (2), namely  $R_{par}$  and  $K_{par}$ . The matching distance  $R_{par}$  is optimized by minimizing the energy in a variational Monte Carlo calculation. The physical meaning of  $K_{par}$  is that of the Luttinger parameter, which we chose consistently with the equation of state. All the calculations are performed with N = 20 particles which proved to be enough for reaching a reasonable description of the thermodynamic limit in one dimension. Finally, we worked with pure estimators [27] for the calculation of the static structure factor and pair distribution function in order to eliminate any residual bias coming from the guiding wave function.

## 3. Results

The energy and diagonal properties of the three hydrogen isotopes are independent of the statistics and/or polarization of the atoms due to Girardeau's mapping [3]. The mapping relies on having both a one-dimensional system and hard-core interactions, conditions that 1D hydrogen, deuterium, and tritium satisfy. According to Girardeau's mapping, there is a simple relation between fermionic  $\Psi_F$  and bosonic  $\Psi_B$  wave functions, namely  $\Psi_B = |\Psi_F|$ . As the interatomic potential is the same for the three isotopes, the mass is the crucial factor that controls their different behavior.





In figure 1, we report the density dependence of the ground-state energy for all three isotopes. The energy per particle is a monotonously increasing function of the density. No local minima in the energy are found, so none of the isotopes is able to form a self-bound liquid phase. It is worth noticing that tritium, having the largest mass, exhibits a tendency to form an inflection, as one can see in figure 1. In fact, in three dimensions bulk tritium at zero temperature is a liquid with an equilibrium density  $\rho_0 = 0.0075 \text{ Å}^{-3}$  [28]. Nevertheless, there are distinct physical regimes which can be identified from the equation of state and the distribution functions. In the dilute limit,  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , the energetic and diagonal properties are that of an ideal Fermi gas (IFG) for all three isotopes. The energy of the IFG has a quadratic dependence on the density,

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2 \rho^2}{6m},\tag{3}$$

and appears as a straight line on the double logarithmic plot of figure 1. In this density range, it is the same as the energy of the TG gas. For intermediate densities, around  $\rho \sim 0.05 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , deuterium and tritium behave like Bose gases, tritium being the one with the more marked behavior, see figure 2. Around this density, the attractive long-range part of the interaction contributes significantly to the potential energy. As a result, the total energies of <sup>2</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>H are below the energy of the ideal Fermi gas. Instead, the energy of hydrogen <sup>1</sup>H is always larger than the ideal Fermi gas one.

The deviations from the TG/ ideal Fermi-gas regime can be analyzed using scattering theory. The rapid long-range decay of the interatomic potential permits to describe it at low densities with the scattering phase shift or the *s*-wave scattering length  $a_s$ . In figure 3 we report the dependence of  $a_s$  on the mass of the atom. For hydrogen the *s*-wave scattering length is positive,  $a_{1H} = 0.70$  Å, making the interaction effectively similarly to that of hard rods (HRs) or sTG branch in contact-interacting gases. At the same time, the small value of  $a_s^{1H}$ means that the deviations from the TG energy will be relatively weak. For deuterium the *s*-wave scattering length changes sign and is equal to  $a_s^{2H} = -3.69$  Å. The negative value makes the interaction potential be similar to that of the contact interaction,  $V(x) = g\delta(x)$ , with the usual 1D relation between the coupling constant *g* and the *s*-wave scattering length  $g = -2\hbar^2/(ma_s) > 0$ . The large value of the *s*-wave scattering length suggests that the deviations from the ideal Fermi gas will happen at much smaller values of the density. For the tritium the density dependence will become very prominent as the *s*-wave scattering is very large,  $a_s^{3H} = -45.0$  Å. Indeed, the tritium mass is very close to the threshold value m = 3.27u at which a bound state enters.

The equation of state of a HR gas is obtained from the energy of the ideal Fermi gas, equation (3) by subtracting the excluded volume of the HRs  $Na_s > 0$  from the system size,  $L \rightarrow L - Na_s$ , resulting in [3]

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2 \rho^2}{6m(1 - \rho a_s)^2} = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2 \rho^2}{6m^2} [1 + 2\rho a_s + 3(\rho a_s)^2 + O((\rho a_s)^3)],$$
(4)



**Figure 2.** Comparison of the energy per particle for the three hydrogen isotopes normalized by the energy of the corresponding ideal Fermi gas. Symbols, DMC energy (hydrogen, deuterium, tritium from top to bottom); solid lines connecting symbols, guide to an eye. In the limit  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  we recover the energy of an ideal Fermi/Tonks–Girardeau gas, shown by a solid horizontal line  $E = E_{IFG}$ , where  $E_{IFG}$  is given by equation (3) with the corresponding isotope mass. Dashed lines in the dilute regime show the energy of hard-rod gas, equation (4) with corresponding *s*-wave scattering length and isotope mass.



Figure 5. Mass dependence of the 3-wave scattering length  $a_s$ , solid line, solution of the two-body scattering problem; dashed–dotted lines, <sup>1</sup>H with m = 1.00794u and  $a_s = 0.70$  Å; dashed–dotted–dotted lines, <sup>2</sup>H with m = 2.0141u and  $a_s = -3.69$  Å; dotted lines, <sup>3</sup>H with m = 3.01605u and  $a_s = -45.0$  Å; long-dashed line, critical value  $m_c = 3.25u$  at which a two-body bound state appears.

Interestingly, equation (4) also describes the energy of a dilute gas with  $a_s < 0$ , i.e. for the contact interaction Lieb–Liniger gas. Its equation of state can be obtained from Bethe ansatz approach and coincides with equation (4) even if the *s*-wave scattering length has an opposite sign, compared to HRs with  $a_s > 0$ , making 'excluded volume' correction effectively 'increase' the available phase space,  $L \rightarrow L + N|a_s|$ . Physically such a coincidence reflects the continuity of the Lieb–Liniger and sTG branches with the differences appearing only in  $O((\rho a_s)^3)$  terms in equation (4) where the effective range of the potential enters which is different for the contact- and HR potentials [29].

By comparing the DMC results with equation (4) we find a perfect agreement. As expected from equation (4), the departure from the ideal Fermi gas behavior, corresponding to straight lines in figure 1 happens at smaller density for tritium and at the largest density for hydrogen. The corrections are best observed by analyzing the ratio of the energy and the energy of the ideal Fermi gas,  $E/E_{IFG}$ , shown in figure 2. The deviations

from the IFG value are positive for hydrogen (HR or sTG type) and negative for deuterium and tritium (Lieb–Liniger type).

For even larger densities, the repulsive part of the potential makes the system become more rigid and leads to a rapid increase in the energy. At  $\rho \sim 0.11$  Å<sup>-1</sup> for deuterium and  $\rho \sim 0.16$  Å<sup>-1</sup> for tritium, the energy per particle becomes larger than that of the IFG and, if the density is increased even more, the energy diverges quickly. These effects can be conveniently seen in figure 2 where we plot the energy in terms of the energy of the ideal Fermi gas.

For high densities, for example  $\rho = 0.3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , the total energy is dominated by the potential energy of the hard-core repulsion and the harmonic crystal theory can be applied. We note that it is an unusual feature of one-dimensional physics that while strictly speaking the system always remains in a gas phase, its properties still might be correctly described by a crystal. The quasi-crystal description is applicable to one-dimensional gases interacting with dipolar [30–32],  $1/r^2$  [33] and Coulomb [34] potentials. The harmonic crystal energy  $E_{\text{HC}}$  is computed as the sum of the potential energy of a perfect crystal  $E_{\text{IC}}$  and the zero-point motion

$$\frac{E_{\rm HC}}{N} = \frac{E_{\rm IC}}{N} + \frac{1}{\ell_{\rm BZ}} \int_{\rm BZ} \frac{\hbar\omega(k)}{2} \, \mathrm{d}k,\tag{5}$$

where  $\ell_{BZ}$  is the size of the first Brillouin zone (BZ). The excitation spectrum is obtained from the classical Newton equations of motion for each atom (see appendix),

$$\omega(k) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{\frac{n}{\rho}} \sin^2 \left( \frac{kn}{2\rho} \right) \right]}.$$
 (6)

We find that the high-density regime can be described by the harmonic crystal approach. It is interesting to note that at comparable densities, one-dimensional helium cannot be yet approximated by the HC theory [35]. In fact, HC approach is applicable when the repulsive hard-core part of the interaction potential provides a much larger contribution than the attractive tails. The dominant contribution comes from the potential energy of a perfect classical lattice and depends only on the interaction potential V(x) and is thus mass independent. This is the reason why the equations of state for all isotopes approach each other for high densities. By keeping only the exponential repulsive core in the interaction potential,  $V(x) = V_0 \exp(-|x||x|)$ , we find that the energy at high densities diverges as

$$\frac{E^{(0)}}{N} = \frac{V_0}{e^{\varkappa/\rho} - 1}.$$
(7)

There are two types of correction to equation (7). The first has a classical nature and comes from the attractive tail of the interaction potential. This correction is negative and is mass independent. The second correction has a quantum nature and corresponds to the energy of the zero-point motion. This correction is positive and has a weak  $1/\sqrt{m}$  dependence on the isotope mass, see equation (18).

The structural properties change significantly across different physical regimes. In the following, we analyze the behavior of the two-body distribution function g(r) and the static structure factor S(k).

In the very dilute limit, the two-body distribution function, which is proportional to the probability to find two particles at distance *x*, approaches that of an ideal Fermi gas, given by

$$g_{\rm IFG}(x) = 1 - {\rm sinc}^2(k_{\rm F}x),$$
 (8)

where the Fermi wave number is  $k_{\rm F} = \pi \rho$ . For the fermionic <sup>2</sup>H isotope it is quite natural that at low densities the Friedel-like oscillations are formed at the Fermi wave number. Still, even for the bosonic <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>H isotopes the oscillations appear again at  $k = k_{\rm F}$ , as the hard-core repulsion plays the role of an effective Pauli exclusion principle, resulting in g(0) = 0. While the Fermi energy depends on the isotope mass, the Fermi wave number  $k_F$ is entirely fixed by the density. By rescaling distances in units of the linear density, the distribution functions of all three isotopes coincide for  $\rho \to 0$  and reproduce  $g_{IFG}(x)$ , equation (8). We report g(r) for an intermediate density in figure 4. Friedel-like oscillations for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>2</sup>H are visible as peaks centered at distances  $\rho r = 1, 2, ...,$ physically corresponding to multiples of the average interparticle distance. Out of all isotopes, bosonic <sup>1</sup>H has the highest peak, which is above the IFG/TG value. Such strong correlations are manifestations of the sTG regime (a similar effect is also seen in the energy, figure 2). Fermionic deuterium <sup>2</sup>H experiences Friedel-like oscillations, while the height of the peak is below the  $\max_k S(k) = 1$  value of the IFG gas, because at this density <sup>2</sup>H starts to depart from the IFG model (see figure 2) showing a behavior typical to an attractive Fermi gas. The most dramatic effect of the isotope mass is observed for bosonic <sup>3</sup>H, where the Friedel-like oscillations are not visible at all at this density. Instead, the attractive part of the interaction together with the large mass make tritium behave similarly to a weakly interacting Bose gas. In fact, the shapeless structure of g(r) is typical to Bogoliubov theory of a weakly interacting Bose gas. It is interesting to note, that in tritium there is an enhanced probability to find two atoms at short distances. This can be formally shown by recalling that the static structure







factor is related by Fourier transform  $S(k) = 1 - \int e^{ikr}(g(r) - 1)dr$  to the g(r). Due to phononic low-lying excitations, S(k = 0) = 0, resulting in the condition that the area between the correlation function and the long-range asymptotic value must be preserved,  $\int (g(r) - 1)dr = 1$ . From that it immediately follows that the long-range suppression in g(r) for tritium results in an enhanced probability to find two-particles at short distances compared to the other isotopes.

The short-range behavior is dominated by the hard-core repulsion. In figure 5 we show the two-body distribution functions on a linear scale. The atoms cannot approach each other to distances smaller than few Angstroms. This induces strong quantum correlations in the ground state. The larger the density is, the larger is the potential energy. In a certain sense the system becomes more classical. The amplitude of the Friedel-like oscillations becomes larger and the atoms get more localized. Note that the Friedel oscillations appear on the scale of the  $1/k_F$  and are best seen when two-body distribution function is analyzed as a function of  $\rho x$ , like in figure 4. Instead, units of  $\rho$  Å are appropriate for studying the short-range behavior of g(x) while for the smallest reported densities the Friedel oscillations appear at distances larger than reported in figure 5. A quasi-crystal is

eventually formed with the density profile of atoms at the equilibrium positions being almost Gaussian. The lower mass of hydrogen results in a larger kinetic energy compared to the other isotopes and so the Gaussian distribution around the equilibrium positions is wider. On the contrary, the peaks are the sharpest for tritium which has the heaviest mass. The largest mass of tritium makes it effectively more classical at very high densities compared to other isotopes; its equation of state is closest to the classical prediction, see figure 1.

Quantum fluctuations destroy diagonal long-range order, even at zero temperature, and then no true crystal can be formed. This can be deduced from the Luttinger liquid theory, which provides the following long-range expansion of the two-body distribution function [26]

$$g(x) = 1 - \frac{K}{2(k_{\rm F}x)^2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} A_{\ell} \frac{\cos(2\ell'k_{\rm F}x)}{|k_{\rm F}x|^{2\ell^2 K}},\tag{9}$$

where *K* is the the Luttinger parameter and  $A_{\ell'}$  are coefficients. The amplitude of oscillations decays as a power law at large distances and no true crystal is formed. The Luttinger parameter *K*, which serves as an input characteristic for the phenomenological Luttinger liquid approach, and the  $A_{\ell'}$  coefficients can be obtained only from a full many-body description which in our work is done by means of the diffusion Monte Carlo method. The Luttinger parameter *K* governs the long-range properties of the system. Furthermore, its knowledge permits to exploit effective Hamiltonian theories and to extract important information on how the system behaves when an external field is applied. For example, for K < 1 the system gets pinned by a single impurity [36] and no transmissibility is possible through a weak link [37, 38]; for K < 3/2 the random disorder induces localization [39, 40]; for K < 2 a commensurate optical lattice induces transition to a Mott-insulator phase [41]. In the following, we extract the Luttinger parameter *K* from the static structure factor *S*(*k*).

The density dependence of the static structure factor S(k) is shown in figure 6 for the three isotopes. In the ultra-dilute regime it has the ideal-Fermi gas shape, with a linear low-momentum slope extending up to  $|k| = 2k_F$  and followed by a constant S(k) = 1 value for larger momenta. At higher densities, the low-momentum behavior remains linear in k and can be written as

$$S(k) = \frac{\hbar |k|}{2mc} \qquad k \to 0, \tag{10}$$

where *c* is the speed of sound. For the ideal Fermi gas the speed of sound is given by the Fermi velocity  $c = v_F = \hbar \pi \rho / m$ .

The linear behavior (10) at small k reflects the presence of phonons as, according the Feynman relation  $E(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / [2mS(k)] = \hbar |k|c$ , it corresponds to a linear excitation spectrum. This eventually justifies the use of the Luttinger liquid theory which applies when the low-energy spectrum is gapless and linear. For <sup>1</sup>H, the low-momentum slope once expressed in natural units of  $v_F$  decreases monotonously with the density, as can be appreciated from figure 6(a). Together with an immediate formation of a peak at  $|k| = 2k_F$  this suggests that the hydrogen behaves similarly to a repulsive Fermi gas. Instead, for deuterium and tritium (see figures 6(b) and (c)) the slope first increases for densities up to  $\rho \sim 0.03 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  and decreases later. The initial increase in the slope is followed by the disappearance of the kink at  $|k| = 2k_F$  for <sup>3</sup>H and a smooth featureless dependence on the momentum, typical for an interacting Bose gas. Further increase in the density leads to formation of diverging peaks for all three isotopes, characteristic of the quasi-crystal regime. The height of  $\ell$  th peak diverges as  $S(2\ell k_F) = A_\ell N^{1-2\ell^2 K}$  as can be obtained from Fourier transform of equation (9). Instead, for a true crystal the height of the peak grows linearly with N. Therefore, what we obtain in 1D is a weaker divergence with N characteristic of a quasi-crystal.

We calculate the Luttinger parameter  $K = v_F/c$  by extracting the speed of sound from the slope of the static structure factor

$$K = 2\pi\rho \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{S_k}{k}.$$
(11)

It can also be obtained from the fit to the two-body distribution function equation (9) and we verified that consistent values are obtained. Figure 7 shows the density dependence of the Luttinger parameter *K*. Its knowledge permits us to distinguish different physical regimes. While the system always remains in the non-superfluid non-condensed gas phase, still there are physically different regimes. The <sup>1</sup>H atoms, being the lightest isotope, manifest the strongest quantum effects originating from the largest quantum fluctuations. As the density is increased, being a boson, it passes from the TG gas regime, K = 1, to sTG gas, 1/2 < K < 1, and eventually to the quasi-crystal regime, K < 1/2. In this case, the density dependence of *K* is monotonous. The <sup>2</sup>H isotope is a fermion and it passes from ideal fermions, K = 1, to the attractive Fermi gas regime, K > 1. At higher densities there is a non-monotonous dependence of *K*, so that at some critical density ( $\rho \approx 0.07$  Å<sup>-1</sup>) the gas again has the same Luttinger parameter as in an ideal Fermi gas. This means that as far as the long-range response is concerned, it is similar to that of ideal fermions. Also the renormalization group conclusions concerning the behavior of the system in presence of an external field of a certain type remain the same as for an



IFG. On the other hand, while the oscillations in the two-body distribution function g(x) decay with the same power-law, the amplitudes  $A_{\ell}$  of oscillations in equation (9) are different. At even larger densities, the correlations are stronger than in the IFG similarly to a repulsive Fermi gas. For very large densities deuterium enters the quasi-crystal regime, in which we can note that the difference between different isotopes becomes relatively small. The <sup>3</sup>H isotope is the heaviest one and it possesses, probably, the most interesting phase diagram. In this case the region of a TG gas is greatly reduced and is reached at densities much smaller compared to other isotopes. As the density is increased, tritium starts to behave similarly to a Bogoliubov gas of weakly interacting



linear slope (11) of S(k) when  $k \to 0$ .

bosons. The large maximal value of the Luttinger parameter in tritium, as compared to other isotopes, is a consequence of being close to the formation of a bound state, see figure 3. This is consistent with the equation of state exhibiting tendency to form an inflection, at which point compressibility and the Luttinger parameter go to infinity. In the point where the bound state enters, its energy is equal to zero, which is reminiscent of an ideal Bose gas with  $K \to \infty$ . Interestingly, the maximal value of the Luttinger parameter, reached at  $\rho \approx 0.3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , is above both the critical value of the localization in random disorder, K = 3/2 [39, 40] and the critical value for the pinning transition in commensurate optical lattices, K = 2 [41]. Together with sTG and quasi-crystal regimes at high densities, this makes the physical description of the accessible regimes very rich.

### 4. Discussion

It is instructive to compare the properties of hydrogen with those of other gases confined to a one-dimensional geometry. The interactions between dilute alkali gases can be well approximated by a delta pseudopotential resulting in the Lieb–Liniger model [42], which features a crossover from the weakly interacting Gross– Pitaevskii regime  $(K \rightarrow \infty)$  to the TG gas (K = 1). This crossover was experimentally observed [6, 7, 9, 43] by tuning the interaction strength using the Olshanii CIR. The sTG regime with K < 1 corresponds to a metastable state which was experimentally realized by a fast sweep across the CIR [8]. The energetic properties of mesoscopic two-component Fermi gases with a tunable *s*-wave interaction were measured using RF spectroscopy [44, 45]. Bosons, interacting with a non-integrable repulsive interaction at short distances have the same energetic properties as fermions with the same interaction, according to Girardeau's mapping. As a result, dipoles [30, 31, 46] and HRs [47, 48] form a TG/ideal Fermi gas at small density, pass through sTG phase and form a quasi-crystal at large densities. On the opposite, for Coulomb charges, the Wigner quasi-crystal is formed at low densities and TG/IFG at large ones [34, 49]. Calogero–Sutherland model permits to access all regimes with K > 0 [33].

As concerning a comparison with other light elements, helium also has an interaction potential of van der Waals type. For <sup>4</sup>He data are available only for selected densities in narrow quasi-1D nanopores, correspoding to quasi-crystal regime [50–52]. The density dependence of the Luttinger parameter in <sup>3</sup>He in 1D [35] is overall quite similar to that of deuterium and tritium, with a similar location of the maximum, at  $\rho \approx 0.05 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . We find that the hydrogen, being the lightest atom in the periodic table, shows a dramatic dependence on the isotope mass.

# 5. Conclusions

To conclude, we studied how the ground-state properties of one-dimensional hydrogen are affected by the isotope mass. The limit of ultra-low density corresponds to an ideal Fermi gas for Fermi–Dirac statistics and to a TG gas for Bose–Einstein case. At high density, a quasi-crystal is formed as manifested by strong oscillations in the two-body distribution function g(r) and diverging peak in the static structure factor S(k). We extract the Luttinger parameter K from the linear behavior of S(k) when  $k \rightarrow 0$ . Based on a specific value of K we define different physical regimes including TG, Bogoliubov Bose, sTG gases and quasi-crystal for bosons; and ideal Fermi gas, attractive and repulsive Fermi gas, quasi-crystal regimes for fermions. A peculiarity of one-dimensional hydrogen is that due to Girardeau's mapping for hard-core interactions, the energy and diagonal properties depend rather on mass and not on the Bose–Einstein or Fermi–Dirac statistics. The isotope mass plays a non-straightforward role making the three species intrinsically different: the resulting *s*-wave scattering length  $a_s$  is positive for <sup>1</sup>H leading to positive corrections to TG energy (HRs or sTG type);  $a_s$  is negative for <sup>2</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>H causing negative corrections (Lieb–Liniger type). The most significant differences are observed in the case of tritium, where the mass is close to the value needed to form a bound state, causing large maximal values of the Luttinger parameter.

# Acknowledgments

We acknowledge partial financial support from the MICINN (Spain) Grant No. FIS2014-56257-C2-1-PLVM acknowledges partial financial support by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project number IP-2014-09-2452. The Barcelona Supercomputing Center (The Spanish National Supercomputing Center—Centro Nacional de Supercomputación) is acknowledged for the provided computational facilities.

#### Appendix. Harmonic crystal theory

A quasi-crystal is formed in the high-density regime. Its energy can be compared to that of a perfect crystal with particle position equally separated,  $x_n = n/\rho$ :

$$\frac{E^{(0)}}{N} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V(n/\rho).$$
 (12)

The excitation spectrum  $\omega(k)$  can be obtained within harmonic crystal theory as a summation of the Hessian matrix over the perfect lattice

$$\omega(k) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{\frac{n}{\rho}} \sin^2 \left( \frac{kn}{2\rho} \right) \right]}.$$
(13)

The correction to the energy (14) can be obtained by integrating the phonon energy  $\hbar\omega(k)/2$  over the first BZ

$$\frac{E^{(1)}}{N} = \frac{1}{\ell_{\text{BZ}}} \int_{\text{BZ}} \frac{\hbar\omega(k)}{2} \, \mathrm{d}k. \tag{14}$$

For the JDW potential, the summation (12) and (13) and integration (14) can be performed numerically. Alternatively, for extremely large densities where the repulsive part of the interaction potential becomes dominant, some useful expressions can be obtained analytically. The strong repulsion at short distances  $(|x| \leq 2 \text{ Å})$  can be approximated by an exponential wall

$$V(x) = V_0 \exp(-\varkappa |x|), \tag{15}$$

with  $V_0 = 4.73 \times 10^5$  K and  $\varkappa = 2.52$  Å<sup>-1</sup>. The ground-state energy of a classic crystal (12) is the sum of the potential energy over a perfect lattice

$$\frac{E^{(0)}}{N} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} V(n/\rho) = \frac{V_0}{e^{\varkappa/\rho} - 1}$$
(16)

as we report in equation (7)

The excitation spectrum is

$$\omega(k) = \sqrt{\frac{V_0 \varkappa^2}{m} \frac{e^{\varkappa/\rho} + 1}{e^{\varkappa/\rho} - 1} \frac{1 - \cos(k/\rho)}{\cosh(\varkappa/\rho) - \cos(k/\rho)}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{4V_0 \varkappa^2}{me^{\varkappa/\rho}}} \left| \sin\frac{k}{2\rho} \right|. \tag{17}$$

The spectrum is linear at small momenta,  $\omega(k) = c|k|$ , is defined on the first BZ,  $-\pi\rho < k < \pi\rho$ , and is a periodic function.

Finally, the energy correction (14) due to phonons is

$$\frac{E^{(1)}}{N} = \sqrt{\frac{V_0 \hbar^2 \varkappa^2}{m}} \frac{e^{\varkappa/\rho} + 1}{e^{\varkappa/\rho} - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(e^{\frac{\varkappa}{2\rho}}) \right) \approx \sqrt{\frac{4V_0 \hbar^2 \varkappa^2}{\pi^2 m}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\varkappa}{\rho}}.$$
(18)

#### References

- Anderson M H, Ensher J R, Matthews M R, Wieman C E and Cornell E A 1995 Observation of Bose–Einstein condensation in a dilute atomic vapor Science 269 198–201
- [2] Davis K B, Mewes M O, Andrews M R, van Druten N J, Durfee D S, Kurn D M and Ketterle W 1995 Bose–Einstein condensation in a gas of sodium atoms *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 3969–73
- [3] Girardeau M 1960 Relationship between systems of impenetrable bosons and fermions in one dimension J. Math. Phys. 1 516-23
- [4] Astrakharchik G E, Boronat J, Casulleras J and Giorgini S 2005 Beyond the Tonks–Girardeau gas: strongly correlated regime in quasione-dimensional Bose gases Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 190407
- [5] Olshanii M 1998 Atomic scattering in the presence of an external confinement and a gas of impenetrable bosons Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 938–41
- [6] Kinoshita T, Wenger T and Weiss D S 2004 Observation of a one-dimensional Tonks–Girardeau gas Science 305 1125–8
- [7] Paredes A, Widera B, Murg V, Mandel O, Folling S, Cirac I, Shlyapnikov G V, Hansch T W and Bloch I 2004 Tonks–Girardeau gas of ultracold atoms in an optical lattice Nature 429 277–81
- [8] Haller E, Gustavsson M, Mark M J, Danzl J G, Hart R, Pupillo G and Ng<sup>-</sup>erl H-C 2009 Realization of an excited, strongly correlated quantum gas phase Science 325 1224–7
- [9] Haller E, Rabie M, Mark M J, Danzl J G, Hart R, Lauber K, Pupillo G and Nägerl H-C 2011 Three-body correlation functions and recombination rates for bosons in three dimensions and one dimension *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107 230404
- [10] Fried D G, Killian T C, Willmann L, Landhuis D, Moss S C, Kleppner D and Greytak T J 1998 Bose–Einstein condensation of atomic hydrogen Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 3811–4
- [11] Blume D, Esry B D, Greene C H, Klausen N N and Hanna G J 2002 Formation of atomic tritium clusters and Bose–Einstein condensates Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 163402
- [12] Kolos W and Wolniewicz L 1968 Improved theoretical ground-state energy of the hydrogen molecule J. Chem. Phys. 49 404–10
- [13] Jamieson M, Dalgarno A and Wolniewicz L 2000 Calculation of properties of two-center systems *Phys. Rev.* A 61 042705
- [14] Yan Z-C, Babb J F, Dalgarno A and Drake G W F 1996 Variational calculations of dispersion coefficients for interactions among H, He, and Li atoms Phys. Rev. A 54 824
- [15] Markić L V, Boronat J and Casulleras J 2007 Quantum monte carlo simulation of spin-polarized H Phys. Rev. B 75 064506
- [16] Bešlić I, Markić L V and Boronat J 2013 Spin-polarized hydrogen and its isotopes: a rich class of quantum phases (review article) Low Temp. Phys. 39 857–73
- [17] Bešlić I, Markić L V and Boronat J 2009 Quantum Monte Carlo study of large spin-polarized tritium clusters J. Chem. Phys. 131 244506
- [18] Xu H and Hansen J-P 2002 Structural and thermodynamic properties of spin-polarized fluid hydrogen Phys. Plasmas (1994-present) 9 21–7
- [19] Bešlić I, Markić LV, Casulleras J and Boronat J 2013 Quantum Monte Carlo study of spin-polarized deuterium Phys. Rev. B 88 024507
- [20] Guardiola R 1988 Monte Carlo techniques in the many body problem First International Course on Condensed Matter (ACIF Series vol 8) ed D Prosperi et al (Singapore: World Scientific) p 157
- [21] Boronat J and Casulleras J 1994 Monte Carlo analysis of an interatomic potential for He Phys. Rev. B 49 8920
- [22] Bešlić I, Markić L V and Boronat J 2008 Quantum Monte Carlo study of small pure and mixed spin-polarized tritium clusters J. Chem. Phys. 128 064302
- [23] Bešlić I, Markić L V and Boronat J 2009 Stability limits of mixed spin-polarised tritium clusters J. Physi.: Conf. Ser. 150 032010
- [24] Stipanović P, Markić L V, Boronat J and Kežić B 2011 Ground state of small mixed helium and spin-polarized tritium clusters: a quantum Monte Carlo study *J. Chem. Phys.* **134** 054509
- [25] Reatto L and Chester G V 1967 Phonons and the properties of a Bose system Phys. Rev. 155 88-100
- [26] Haldane F D M 1981 Effective harmonic-fluid approach to low-energy properties of one-dimensional quantum fluids Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 1840–3
- [27] Casulleras J and Boronat J 1995 Unbiased estimators in quantum Monte Carlo methods: application to liquid <sup>4</sup>He Phys. Rev. B 52 3654–61
- [28] Bešlić I, Markić L V and Boronat J 2009 Quantum Monte Carlo simulation of spin-polarized tritium Phys. Rev. B 80 134506
- [29] Astrakharchik G E, Boronat J, Kurbakov I L, Lozovik Yu E and Mazzanti F 2010 Low-dimensional weakly interacting Bose gases: nonuniversal equations of state Phys. Rev. A 81 013612
- [30] Arkhipov A, Astrakharchik G, Belikov A and Lozovik Y 2005 Ground-state properties of a one-dimensional system of dipoles J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Lett. 82 39–43
- [31] Astrakharchik G E and Lozovik Y E 2008 Super-Tonks–Girardeau regime in trapped one-dimensional dipolar gases Phys. Rev. A 77 013404
- [32] Astrakharchik G E, Chiara G D, Morigi G and Boronat J 2009 Thermal and quantum fluctuations in chains of ultracold polar molecules J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 42 154026
- [33] Astrakharchik G E, Gangardt D M, Lozovik Y E and Sorokin I A 2006 Off-diagonal correlations of the Calogero–Sutherland model Phys. Rev. E 74 021105
- [34] Astrakharchik G E and Girardeau M D 2011 Exact ground-state properties of a one-dimensional Coulomb gas Phys. Rev. B 83 153303
- [35] Astrakharchik G E and Boronat J 2014 Luttinger-liquid behavior of one-dimensional <sup>3</sup>He Phys. Rev. B 90 235439
- [36] Glazman L I, Ruzin I M and Shklovskii B I 1992 Quantum transport and pinning of a one-dimensional Wigner crystal Phys. Rev. B 45 8454–63
- [37] Kane CL and Fisher MPA 1992 Transport in a one-channel Luttinger liquid Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 1220-3

- [38] Furusaki A and Nagaosa N 1993 Single-barrier problem and Anderson localization in a one-dimensional interacting electron system Phys. Rev. B 47 4631–43
- [39] Giamarchi T and Schulz H J 1988 Anderson localization and interactions in one-dimensional metals Phys. Rev. B 37 325-40
- [40] Ristivojevic Z, Petković A, Le Doussal P and Giamarchi T 2012 Phase transition of interacting disordered bosons in one dimension Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 026402
- [41] Bloch I, Dalibard J and Zwerger W 2008 Many-body physics with ultracold gases Rev. Mod. Phys. 80 885–964
- [42] Lieb E H and Liniger W 1963 Exact analysis of an interacting Bose gas: I. The general solution and the ground state Phys. Rev. 130 1605–16
- [43] Tolra B L, O'Hara K M, Huckans J H, Phillips W D, Rolston S L and Porto J V 2004 Observation of reduced three-body recombination in a correlated 1d degenerate Bose gas Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 190401
- [44] Serwane F, Zürn G, Lompe T, Ottenstein T B, Wenz A N and Jochim S 2011 Deterministic preparation of a tunable few-fermion system Science 332 336–8
- [45] Wenz A N, Zürn G, Murmann S, Brouzos I, Lompe T and Jochim S 2013 From few to many: observing the formation of a Fermi sea one atom at a time Science 342 457–60
- [46] Citro R, Orignac E, De Palo S and Chiofalo M L 2007 Evidence of Luttinger-liquid behavior in one-dimensional dipolar quantum gases Phys. Rev. A 75 051602
- [47] Mazzanti F, Astrakharchik G E, Boronat J and Casulleras J 2008 Ground-state properties of a one-dimensional system of hard rods Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 020401
- [48] Mazzanti F, Astrakharchik G E, Boronat J and Casulleras J 2008 Off-diagonal ground-state properties of a one-dimensional gas of Fermi hard rods Phys. Rev. A 77 043632
- [49] Ferré G, Astrakharchik G E and Boronat J 2015 Phase diagram of a quantum Coulomb wire Phys. Rev. B 92 245305
- [50] Del Maestro A, Boninsegni M and Affleck I 2011<sup>4</sup>He Luttinger liquid in nanopores *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 105303
- [51] Kulchytskyy B, Gervais G and Del Maestro A 2013 Local superfluidity at the nanoscale Phys. Rev. B 88 064512
- [52] Markić L V and Glyde H R 2015 Superfluidity, BEC, and dimensions of liquid <sup>4</sup>He in nanopores Phys. Rev. B 92 064510